UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Caption in Compliance with D.N.J. LBR 9004-2(c)

Nicholas Poduslenko, Esquire

Edmund M. George, Esquire

Obermayer Rebmann Maxwell & Hippel LLP

1120 Route 73, Suite 420

Mount Laurel, NJ 08054

(856) 795-3300

(856) 482-0504 (fax)

Attorneys for Ron and Rima Seal

In re:

MATHEW K. PIERSON

Debtor.

RON AND RIMA SEAL

Plaintiffs,

v.

MATHEW K. PIERSON,

Defendant.

Chapter 7

Case No. 21-11080-KCF

ADVERSARY NO. 21-1264

ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' CROSSMOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT AND DENYING
DEFENDANT'S MOTION
FOR SUMMARY
JUDGEMENT AND MOTION
TO DISMISS ADVERSARY
PROCEEDING PURSUANT
TO F.R.C.P. 56, 12(b)(6) and
8(a)(2)

The relief set forth on the following pages numbered two (2) through three (3) is hereby **ORDERED**.

Case 21-01264-KCF Doc 16-5 Filed 02/22/22 Entered 02/22/22 11:30:01 Desc Proposed Order Page 2 of 3

Page 2 of 3

Debtor:

Matthew K. Pierson

Case No:

21-11080-KCF

Adversary No:

21-1264-KCF

Caption of Order:

Order Granting Plaintiffs' Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment and

Denying Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgement and Motion to Dismiss Adversary Proceeding Pursuant to F.R.C.P. 56, 12(b)(6) and

8(a)(2)

AND NOW, upon consideration of Ron and Rima Seal's (the "Plaintiffs") Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment and Opposition to Mathew K. Pierson's ("Mr. Pierson") Motion for Summary Judgment and/or to Dismiss Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 56, 12(b)(6) and 8(a)(2) (the "Cross-Motion")¹⁰; and after a review of the Certification of Rima Seal; and after notice and a hearing; and after due deliberation, good cause shown, and the Court finding:

- 1. Mr. Pierson's Motion for Summary Judgment and/or to Dismiss Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 56, 12(b)(6) and 8(a)(2) is baseless;
- 2. There are no disputed material facts as to Mr. Pierson's conduct being willful and malicious;
- 3. Mr. Pierson's conduct falls within the willful and malicious exception where the judgment in the State Court Action entered against Mr. Pierson is not dischargeable;
- 4. The judgment in the State Court Action is not dischargeable in these Chapter 7 bankruptcy proceedings;
- 5. There are no disputed material facts as to Plaintiffs' Cross-Motion; and **THEREFORE**;

IT IS on this ___ day of _____, 2022, **ORDERED** and **DECREED** as follows:

1. Mr. Pierson's Motion for Summary Judgment and/or to Dismiss Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 56, 12(b)(6) and 8(a)(2) is **DENIED**.

¹⁰ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Cross-Motion.

Case 21-01264-KCF Doc 16-5 Filed 02/22/22 Entered 02/22/22 11:30:01 Desc Proposed Order Page 3 of 3

Page 3 of 3

Debtor:

Matthew K. Pierson

Case No:

21-11080-KCF

Adversary No:

21-1264-KCF

Caption of Order:

Order Granting Plaintiffs' Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment and Denying Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgement and Motion to

Dismiss Adversary Proceeding Pursuant to F.R.C.P. 56, 12(b)(6) and

8(a)(2)

- 2. Plaintiffs' Cross-Motion is **GRANTED** in its entirety.
- 3. Summary Judgment is **GRANTED** in the Plaintiffs' favor.
- 4. The judgment in the State Court Action shall not be discharged.
- 5. The terms and conditions of this Order are immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry, any stay applicable under the Bankruptcy Rules or Local Rules is hereby expressly waived and shall not apply.
- 6. The Plaintiffs are authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted pursuant to this Order.
- 7. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and/or enforcement of this Order.